

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/206,782 | 12/07/1998 | MICHAEL R. PEEVEY | 32277.0100 | 5956 | |
| 20322 | 7590 09/09/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| SNELL & WILMER | | | SHERR, CRISTINA O | | |
| + | ONA CENTER 'AN BUREN | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| PHOENIX, | AZ 850040001 | | 3621 | | |
| | | : | DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/206,782 | PEEVEY, MICHAEL R. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | Cristina Owen Sherr | 3621 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. The ply | pears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence add | dress | | | |
| THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | I36(a). In no event, however, may a rely within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB. | eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this con ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 J | <u>une 2005</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> | ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3)□ |)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-3,6,8 and 34 is/are pending in the | application. | • | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | • • | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6,8 and 34</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8)□ | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyan | ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority (| ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| - | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | <u> </u> | received in this National S | Stage | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | |
| _ | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview S | Summary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice 3) Information | the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s | s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO | -152) | | | |
| | rademark Office | | <u> </u> | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |

4

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to applicant's amendment filed June 17, 2005. Claims 1 and 34 have been amended. Claims 4-5, 7, 9-33, and 35-39 have been canceled. Claims 1, 2, 3, 6, 8, and 34 are pending in this case.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 3, 6, 8, and 34 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 3, 6, 8, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Budike, Jr. et al (US 6,122,603).
- 5. Regarding claim 1, 34 -

Budike discloses a method for providing utility consumption data to a utility consumer over a network (e.g. col 1 ln 12-28), the method comprising the steps of: receiving metering data and operational status information-from a utility meter located at a remote facility operated by said utility customer (e.g. col 28 ln 45-60), wherein said metering data is electronically and automatically transmitted over the network in substantially real time from said utility meter to a data processing system (e.g. col 8 ln

Art Unit: 3621

30-45); calculating billing data using said metering data at said data processing system, wherein said calculating step calculates said billing data using:

- (a) generation costs, (e.g. col 10 ln 10-25)
- (b) transmission costs (e.g. col 10 ln 10-25), and

(c) distribution costs of said utility (e.g. col 10 ln 20-30);

storing said metering data and said billing data electronically at said data processing

system (e.g. abstract); and

reporting said metering data and said billing data electronically from said data processing system to said utility customer over the network, said reporting step including the steps of providing a website on the network accessible by said utility customer; retrieving said metering data and said billing data from said database; and presenting said metering data and said billing data on said website (e.g. col 7 ln 35-50).

- 6. Budike does not discloses allowing said consumer to affect electronic funds transfer via a payment system in accordance with said billing data, however, payment through internet or other network is well-known in the art, and it would be obvious to a practitioner of ordinary skill in the art to adapt the teachings of Budike to include online payment in order to obtain a more user friendly and economical form of monitoring and paying for utility costs.
- 7. regarding claim 2 –

Budike discloses the method in accordance with claim 1, wherein said receiving step further comprises the step of polling said meter using an electronic communication medium (e.g. col 7 ln 50-col 8 ln 5).

Application/Control Number: 09/206,782 Page 4

Art Unit: 3621

8. Regarding claim 3 -

Budike discloses the method in accordance with claim 2, wherein said polling step polls said meter using a toll-free telephone line (see e.g. col 7 ln 45-50), where a tool-free telephone line is not specifically disclosed, however, different networks are. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Budike to include a toll free telephone line.

9. Regarding claim 6 -

Budike discloses the method in accordance with claim 1, wherein said receiving step receives metering data from said meter wherein said meter is suitably configured with a modem for connecting to an electronic data line (e.g. col 7 In 45-50).

10. Regarding claim 8 –

Budike discloses the method in accordance with claim 1, wherein said storing step stores said metering data and said billing data in a computer database (e.g. abstract)

11. Examiner's note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant.

Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may be applied as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3621

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Page 5

- 13. Collins et al (US 6,553,418B1) disclose an energy information and control system.
- 14. Uggerud et al (US 6,529,839B1) discloses an energy coordination system.
- 15. Pitchford et al (US 6,327,541B1) discloses an electronic energy management system.
- 16. Sneeringer (US 6,618,709) discloses computer assisted and/or implemented process and architecture for web-based monitoring of energy related usage, and client accessibility therefor.
- 17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cristina Owen Sherr whose telephone number is 571-272-6711. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 Monday through Friday.
- 18. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 571-272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3621

19. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 6

from both
